arXiv:1606.08072v1 [cs.SY] 26 Jun 2016

# Computing the Convolution of Analog and Discrete Time Exponential Signals Algebraically

Francisco Mota

Departamento de Engenharia de Computação e Automação
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte – Brasil
e-mail:mota@dca.ufrn.br

June 28, 2016

**Abstract**

We present a procedure for computing the convolution of exponential signals without the need of solving integrals or summations. The procedure requires the resolution of a system of linear equations involving Vandermonde matrices. We apply the method to solve ordinary differential/difference equations with constant coefficients.

## 1 Notation and Definitions

Below we introduce the definitions and notation to be used along the paper:

- $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ are, respectively, the set of integers, real and complex numbers;
- An analog time signal is defined as a complex valued function $f : \underset{t}{\mathbb{R}} \underset{\mapsto}{\to} \underset{f(t)}{\mathbb{C}}$, and a discrete time signal is a complex valued function $f : \underset{k}{\mathbb{Z}} \underset{\mapsto}{\to} \underset{f(k)}{\mathbb{C}}$. In this paper we are mainly concerned with exponential signals, that is, $f(t) = e^{rt}$, or $f(k) = r^k$, where $r \in \mathbb{C}$. Two basic signals will be necessary in our development, namely, the unit step signal ($\sigma$) and the unit impulse (generalized) signal ($\delta$), both in analog or discrete time setting. The unit step is defined as

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t < 0 \\ 1, & t > 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{(analog)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(k) = \begin{cases} 0, & k < 0 \\ 1, & k \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{(discrete time)}$$

  In analog time context we define the unit impulse as $\delta = \dot{\sigma}$, where the derivative is supposed to be defined in the generalized sense, since $\sigma$ has a "jump" discontinuity at $t = 0$, and this is why we denote $\delta$ as a "generalized" signal [1]. If $f$ is an analog signal continuous at $t = 0$, the product "$f\sigma$" is given by

$$(f\sigma)(t) = f(t)\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t < 0 \\ f(t), & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

  and then, if $f(0) \neq 0$, the module of $f\sigma$ also has a "jump" discontinuity at $t = 0$, in fact $(f\sigma)(0^-) = 0$ while $(f\sigma)(0^+) = f(0)$. Additionally, using the generalized signal $\delta$, we can obtain the derivative of $f\sigma$ as

$$(\dot{f\sigma}) = \dot{f}\sigma + f\dot{\sigma} = \dot{f}\sigma + f(0)\delta \tag{1}$$

  In discrete time context, time shifting is a fundamental operation. We denote by $[f]_n$ the shifting of signal $f$ by $n$ units in time, that is, $[f]_n(k) = f(k-n)$. Using this notation, the discrete time impulse $\delta$ can be written as $\delta = \sigma - [\sigma]_1$ or $\delta(k) = \sigma(k) - \sigma(k-1)$.

- The convolution between two signals $f$ and $g$, represented by $f * g$, is the binary operation defined as [2]:

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g)(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\tau)g(t-\tau)d\tau, \quad \text{for analog signals, or} \\ (f * g)(k) &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f(j)g(k-j), \quad \text{for discrete time signals} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Additionally if we have $f(t) = g(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$ and $f(k) = g(k) = 0$ for $k < 0$, we get from (2) that:

$$(f * g)(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t < 0 \\ \int_0^t f(\tau)g(t-\tau)d\tau, & t > 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad (f * g)(k) = \begin{cases} 0, & k < 0 \\ \sum_{j=0}^{k} f(j)g(k-j), & k \geq 0 \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Convolution is commutative, associative and the unity of the operation is the signal $\delta$, that is, $f * \delta = \delta * f = f$ for any signal $f$. Other important properties of convolution are related with derivation and time shifting:

$$(f \dot{*} g) = \dot{f} * g = f * \dot{g}$$

$$f * [\delta]_n = [f]_n$$

# 2 Introduction

Convolution between signals is a fundamental operation in the theory of linear time invariant (LTI) systems[1] and its importance comes mainly from the fact that a LTI operator $H$, which represents a LTI system in analog or discrete time context, satisfies the following property involving signals convolution [3]:

$$H(u * v) = H(u) * v = u * H(v) \tag{4}$$

for any signals $u$ and $v$, analog or discrete time defined. Since $u = u * \delta$ for any signal $u$, taking in particular $v = \delta$ in (4), we get:

$$H(u) = u * H(\delta) = H(\delta) * u, \quad \text{for any signal } u. \tag{5}$$

Equation (5) above implies that the signal $h = H(\delta)$ (denominated *impulse response*) characterizes the operator $H$, or the LTI system, in the sense that the system output due to any input signal $u$, that is $H(u)$, is given by the convolution between $u$ itself and the system impulse response $h$. This is pretty much similar to the fact that a linear function, e.g. $f(x) = ax$, is characterized by its value at $x = 1$, or $f(x) = f(1)x$.

Maybe the most important class of LTI systems (in analog or discrete time context) are the ones modeled by a $n$ order ordinary differential/difference equation with constant coefficients, as shown bellow:

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(n)}(t) + a_{n-1}y^{(n-1)}(t) + \cdots + a_2\ddot{y}(t) + a_1\dot{y}(t) + a_0y(t) &= u(t), \quad \text{analog, or} \\ y(k+n) + a_{n-1}y(k+n-1) + \cdots + a_2y(k+2) + a_1y(k+1) + a_0y(k) &= u(k), \quad \text{discrete time} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $y$ represents the system output signal and $u$ is the system input signal. For this class of systems, it can be shown that the impulse response $h$ can be written as a convolution between $n$ exponential signals which are defined from the system model (6); more specifically [3]:

$$h = h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n, \quad \begin{cases} h_i(t) = e^{r_i t}\sigma(t) & \text{analog, or} \\ h_i(k) = r_i^{k-1}\sigma(k-1) & \text{discrete time} \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n, r_i \in \mathbb{C}$, are the roots of the characteristic equation $x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0 = 0$, associated to the model (6).

The result in Equation (7) above motivate us to find a procedure to compute the convolution between exponential signals. In most text books this question is generally dealt in the domain of Laplace or $Z$ transforms, where time domain convolution, under certain circumstances, becomes the usual product (see e.g. [4]). In next sections, on the other hand, we show that convolution between exponential signals can be evaluated directly in time domain, without having to solve integrals or summations, just by solving an algebraic system of linear equations involving Vandermonde matrices. This approach is very adequate to be implemented computationally in software packages like Scilab [5]. We should note that, since this is a quite old question, equivalent results scattered in literature may exists (see e.g. [6, 7] for results obtained in the context of probability theory); but we believe that our approach to this problem is new. Additionally to find the system impulse response (7), we also use the same technique to compute the complete solution of the differential/difference equation (6) for a given signal $u$.

[1] In another important context, convolution can also be used to compute the probability density function of a sum of independent random variables [8, 9].

# 3 Convolution between analog exponential signals

Consider the analog time signal $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by:

$$h(t) = e^{rt}\sigma(t), \quad r \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ and } \sigma(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t < 0 \\ 1, & t > 0 \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

which is well known to appear as the impulse response of (causal) linear time invariant systems (LTI) modeled by a first order ordinary differential equation. We note that $h$, as defined in (8), has two simple, and important, properties:

1. Its module has a jump discontinuity of amplitude one at $t = 0$, more precisely, $h(0^-) = 0$ and $h(0^+) = 1$;
2. Its derivative ($\dot{h}$) satisfies the (first order differential) equation $\dot{h} = rh + \delta$, as can be deduced from (1).

Now lets consider the convolution between two signals of this kind, that is, let be $h_1(t) = e^{r_1 t}\sigma(t)$ and $h_2(t) = e^{r_2 t}\sigma(t)$. Since both of them are zero for $t < 0$, we get from (3) that $(h_1 * h_2)(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$ and, for $t > 0$ we have:

$$(h_1 * h_2)(t) = \int_0^t e^{r_1\tau} e^{r_2(t-\tau)} d\tau = e^{r_2 t} \int_0^t e^{(r_1 - r_2)\tau} d\tau, \tag{9}$$

and, before solving this integral, we note that the convolution $h_1 * h_2$ satisfies the properties below:

1. $h_1 * h_2$ is *continuous* at $t = 0$, more precisely, $(h_1 * h_2)(0^-) = (h_1 * h_2)(0^+) = 0$, since by (9) we have:

$$(h_1 * h_2)(0^+) = \underbrace{e^{r_2 0^+}}_{=1} \underbrace{\int_0^{0^+} e^{(r_1 - r_2)\tau} d\tau}_{=0} = 0; \tag{10}$$

   and, of course, the integral above is zero because we have an integration of an exponential function over an infinitesimal interval.

2. The derivative of $(h_1 * h_2)$, that is $(h_1 \dot{*} h_2)$, is such that $(h_1 \dot{*} h_2)(0^-) = 0$ and $(h_1 \dot{*} h_2)(0^+) = 1$. In fact:

$$(h_1 \dot{*} h_2) = h_1 * \dot{h}_2 = h_1 * (r_2 h_2 + \delta) = r_2(h_1 * h_2) + h_1 * \delta = r_2(h_1 * h_2) + h_1$$

   and then

$$(h_1 \dot{*} h_2)(0^+) = r_2 \underbrace{(h_1 * h_2)(0^+)}_{=0} + \underbrace{h_1(0^+)}_{=1} = 1 \tag{11}$$

Now we return to analyse the integral in (9), by considering two cases:

1. $r_1 \neq r_2$ (or $h_1 \neq h_2$):

$$(h_1 * h_2)(t) = \frac{1}{r_1 - r_2} e^{r_1 t}\sigma(t) + \frac{1}{r_2 - r_1} e^{r_2 t}\sigma(t), \text{ or} \tag{12}$$

$$(h_1 * h_2)(t) = A_1 h_1(t) + A_2 h_2(t), \quad A_1 = \frac{1}{r_1 - r_2} \text{ and } A_2 = \frac{1}{r_2 - r_1} \tag{13}$$

   **Remark 3.0.1.** Note that in case where $r_1$ and $r_2$ is a complex conjugate pair, represented by $\alpha \pm j\omega$, we get from (12) that $(h_1 * h_2)(t) = (e^{\alpha t}/\omega)\sin(\omega t)$ for $t \geq 0$.

   From Equation (13) we see that, in case that $r_1 \neq r_2$, the convolution $h_1 * h_2$ can be written as a *linear combination* of the signals $h_1$ and $h_2$, and this fact, along with conditions (10) and (11), can be used to find the scalars $A_1$ and $A_2$, without the need of solving the convolution integral (9), as shown bellow:

$$\begin{aligned} (h_1 * h_2)(0^+) &= A_1 h_1(0^+) + A_2 h_2(0^+) = A_1 + A_2 = 0 \\ (h_1 \dot{*} h_2)(0^+) &= A_1 \dot{h}_1(0^+) + A_2 \dot{h}_2(0^+) = A_1 r_1 + A_2 r_2 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

   or:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ r_1 & r_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ r_1 & r_2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

   Solving (14) we get $A_1$ and $A_2$ as shown in (13).

2. $r_1 = r_2 = r$ (or $h_1 = h_2 = h$):

$$(h * h)(t) = te^{rt}\sigma(t) = th(t) \tag{15}$$

Now we consider a generalization of the results above for a convolution of $n \geq 2$ exponential signals as shown in (8). We start by finding a generalization for the conditions (10) and (11):

**Theorem 3.1.** Consider the convolution of $n \geq 2$ signals $\{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n\}$ with $h_j(t) = e^{r_j t}\sigma(t)$ and $r_j \in \mathbb{C}$. The $i$-th derivative of $(h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n)$, represented by $(h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n)^{(i)}$, evaluated at $t = 0^+$ is given by:

$$(h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n)^{(i)}(0^+) = \begin{cases} 0, & i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-2 \\ 1, & i = n-1 \end{cases}$$

and we consider $(h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n)^{(0)} = h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n$.

*Proof.* We note that $(h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n)(0^+) = 0$ if $n \geq 2$, since this involves an integration of exponentials over an infinitesimal interval; this proves that $(h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n)^{(0)}(0^+) = 0$. Now consider $(h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n)^{(i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}
(h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n)^{(i)} &= (\dot{h}_1 * \dot{h}_2 * \cdots * \dot{h}_i) * \underbrace{(h_{i+1} * \cdots * h_{n-1} * h_n)}_{\text{at least two terms}} \\
&= [(r_1 h_1 + \delta) * (r_2 h_2 + \delta) * \cdots * (r_i h_i + \delta)] * (h_{i+1} * \cdots * h_{n-1} * h_n) \\
&= (f + \delta) * (h_{i+1} * \cdots * h_{n-1} * h_n) \\
&= f * (h_{i+1} * \cdots * h_{n-1} * h_n) + (h_{i+1} * \cdots * h_{n-1} * h_n)
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Since the two terms in (16) are composed by a convolution of at least two signals, we conclude that $(h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n)^{(i)}(0^+)$ is equals to zero. Now, considering $i = n-1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n)^{(n-1)} &= (\dot{h}_1 * \dot{h}_2 * \cdots * \dot{h}_{n-1}) * h_n \\
&= (r_1 h_1 + \delta) * (r_2 h_2 + \delta) * \cdots * (r_{n-1} h_{n-1} + \delta) * h_n \\
&= (f + \delta) * h_n = f * h_n + \delta * h_n \\
&= f * h_n + h_n
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Then from (17), since $f * h_n$ is a sum of (at least) two signals convolution, we have that $(f * h_n)(0^+) = 0$ and consequently $(h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n)^{(n-1)}(0^+) = h_n(0^+) = 1$ □

In the following we will find a procedure for computing the convolution $h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n$ for $n \geq 2$ and $h_j(t) = e^{r_j t}\sigma(t)$ with $r_j \in \mathbb{C}$ without the need of solving integrals. To begin with, we consider the case where $h_i \neq h_j$ for $i \neq j$, which implies $r_i \neq r_j$ for $i \neq j$, and it is just a generalization of (14):

**Theorem 3.2.** The convolution between $n \geq 2$ exponentials signals $\{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n\}$, with $h_j(t) = e^{r_j t}\sigma(t)$, $r_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and $h_i \neq h_j$ for $i \neq j$, is given by

$$h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n = A_1 h_1 + A_2 h_2 + \cdots + A_n h_n, \tag{18}$$

where $A_j \in \mathbb{C}$ are scalars that can be computed by solving a linear system $VA = B$ where $V$ is the $n \times n$ (nonsingular) Vandermonde matrix defined by $V_{ij} = r_j^{i-1}$, $A$ and $B$ are the $n$-column vectors $A = (A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n)$ and $B = (0, 0, \ldots, 1)$, that is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ r_1 & r_2 & \cdots & r_n \\ r_1^2 & r_2^2 & \cdots & r_n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ r_1^{n-1} & r_2^{n-1} & \cdots & r_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ A_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{19}$$

So, vector $A$ is the last ($n$-th) column of the inverse of $V$.

*Proof.* We use induction on $n$ to prove (18), which is valid for $n = 2$, as shown in (13). Suppose (18) is valid for $n = k$, and we prove it for $n = k + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_k * h_{k+1} &= (h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_k) * h_{k+1} \\
&= (A_1 h_1 + A_2 h_2 + \cdots + A_k h_k) * h_{k+1} \\
&= A_1(h_1 * h_{k+1}) + A_2(h_2 * h_{k+1}) + \cdots + A_k(h_k * h_{k+1}) \\
&= A_1(B_1 h_1 + C_1 h_{k+1}) + A_2(B_2 h_2 + C_2 h_{k+1}) + \cdots + A_k(B_k h_k + C_k h_{k+1}) \\
&= (A_1 B_1)h_1 + (A_2 B_2)h_2 + \cdots + (A_k B_k)h_k + (A_1 C_1 + \cdots + A_k C_k)h_{k+1},
\end{aligned}$$

and then (18) is proved. To prove (19) we take the $i$-th derivative at $t = 0^+$ on both sides of (18) to get:

$$(h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n)^{(i)}(0^+) = A_1 h_1^{(i)}(0^+) + A_2 h_2^{(i)}(0^+) + \cdots + A_n h_n^{(i)}(0^+), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1.$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 to left side of equation above and using the fact that $h_j^{(i)}(0^+) = r_j^i$ we get (19). □

Now we consider the more general convolution $h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n$, $n \geq 2$, where there is the possibility of some $h_i$ to be repeated in the convolution, that is $h_i = h_j$ for some $i \neq j$. We initially consider some facts about the so-called "convolution power" (or "$n$-fold" convolution [8, 9]) of exponentials, that is, the convolution of $h$, as defined in (8), repeated between itself $n$ times, and we represent it by $h^{*n}$ (in Equation (15) we have a formula for $h^{*2}$).

**Lemma 3.2.1.** The convolution power of $n$ exponentials $h(t) = e^{rt}\sigma(t)$, denoted by $h^{*n}$, is given by

$$h^{*n}(t) = \underbrace{(h * h * \cdots * h)}_{n \text{ terms}}(t) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1} h(t), \quad n \geq 1$$

*Proof.* By induction on $n$. It is trivially true for $n = 1$ and suppose it is valid for $n = k$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} h^{*(k+1)}(t) = (h^{*k} * h)(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \tau^{k-1} e^{r\tau} e^{r(t-\tau)} d\tau, \quad t > 0 \\ &= \frac{e^{rt}}{(k-1)!} \int_0^t \tau^{k-1} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{k(k-1)!} t^k e^{rt} = \frac{1}{k!} t^k h(t). \end{aligned}$$

□

The Lemma bellow shows a generalization of Theorem 3.1 applied to the convolution power of $h$:

**Lemma 3.2.2.** Let be $h(t) = e^{rt}\sigma(t)$, then $i$-th derivative of $h^{*n}$, for $n \geq 2$, computed at $t = 0^+$ and represented by $(h^{*n})^{(i)}(0^+)$, is given by:

$$(h^{*n})^{(i)}(0^+) = \begin{cases} 0, & i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-2 \\ \binom{i}{n-1} r^{i-n+1}, & i \geq n-1 \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

*Proof.* Equation (20) follows from Lemma 3.2.1 by setting $k = n - 1$ in the well-known formula:

$$\frac{d^i}{dt^i}\left(\frac{t^k}{k!} e^{rt}\right)_{t=0} = \begin{cases} 0, & i = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1 \\ \binom{i}{k} r^{i-k}, & i \geq k \end{cases}$$

□

Now we analyse how it would be like the convolution $h_1^{*n_1} * h_2^{*n_2}$, where $h_1(t) = e^{r_1 t}\sigma(t)$ and $h_2(t) = e^{r_2 t}\sigma(t)$, with $r_1 \neq r_2$, that is the convolution between the "$n_1$-power" convolution of $h_1$ with the "$n_2$-power" convolution of $h_2$ when $h_1 \neq h_2$.

**Lemma 3.2.3.** Let be $h_1(t) = e^{r_1 t}\sigma(t)$ and $h_2(t) = e^{r_2 t}\sigma(t)$, with $r_1 \neq r_2$, the convolution between the $n_1$-power convolution of $h_1$ and the $n_2$-power convolution of $h_2$, denoted by $h_1^{*n_1} * h_2^{*n_2}$, is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^{*n_1} * h_2^{*n_2} &= \underbrace{(h_1 * h_1 * \cdots * h_1)}_{n_1 \text{ terms}} * \underbrace{(h_2 * h_2 * \cdots * h_2)}_{n_2 \text{ terms}} \\ &= (A_1 h_1 + A_2 h_1^{*2} + \cdots A_{n_1} h_1^{*n_1}) + (B_1 h_2 + B_2 h_2^{*2} + \cdots B_{n_2} h_1^{*n_2}) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We prove by induction on $(n_1, n_2)$. It is true for $(n_1, n_2) = (1, 1)$ as shown in (13).

1. Induction on $n_1$: Valid for $n_1 = k$ and $n_2 = 1$. Let it be $n_1 = k+1$:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^{*(k+1)} * h_2 = h_1 * (h_1^{*k} * h_2) &= h_1 * (A_1 h_1 + A_2 h_1^{*2} + \cdots A_k h_1^{*k} + B_1 h_2) \\ &= A_1 h_1^{*2} + A_2 h_1^{*3} + \cdots A_{k+1} h_1^{*(k+1)} + B_1 (h_1 * h_2) \\ &= A_1 h_1^{*2} + A_2 h_1^{*3} + \cdots A_{k+1} h_1^{*(k+1)} + B_1 (C_1 h_1 + C_2 h_2) \\ &= (B_1 C_1) h_1 + A_1 h_1^{*2} + A_2 h_1^{*3} + \cdots A_{k+1} h_1^{*(k+1)} + (B_1 C_2) h_2 \end{aligned}$$

2. Induction on $n_2$: Valid for generic $n_1$ and $n_2 = k$. Let it be $n_2 = k+1$: Since $h_1^{*n_1} * h_2^{*(k+1)} = (h_1^{*n_1} * h_2^{*k}) * h_2$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} (h_1^{*n_1} * h_2^{*k}) * h_2 &= [(A_1 h_1 + A_2 h_1^{*2} + \cdots + A_{n_1} h_1^{*n_1}) + (B_1 h_2 + B_2 h_2^{*2} + \cdots + B_k h_2^{*k})] * h_2 \\ &= \underbrace{A_1 (h_1 * h_2) + A_2 (h_1^{*2} * h_2) + \cdots + A_{n_1} (h_1^{*n_1} * h_2)}_{\text{Rearranged as } (C_1 h_1 + C_2 h_1^{*2} + \cdots + C_{n_1} h_1^{*n_1} + D h_2)} + B_1 h_2^{*2} + B_2 h_2^{*3} + \cdots + B_k h_2^{*(k+1)} \\ &= (C_1 h_1 + C_2 h_1^{*2} + \cdots + C_{n_1} h_1^{*n_1}) + (D h_2 + B_1 h_2^{*2} + B_2 h_2^{*3} + \cdots + B_k h_2^{*(k+1)}) \end{aligned}$$

□

We now prove the general result about the power convolution of $n$ exponential signals as show in (8) which is a generalization of Theorem 3.2:

**Theorem 3.3.** The convolution between $n \geq 2$ exponentials signals $\{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n\}$, with $h_i(t) = e^{r_i t}\sigma(t)$, $r_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $q$ distinct $h_s$, each of them repeated $n_s$ times, so that $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots n_q = n$, is given by

$$h_1^{*n_1} * h_2^{*n_2} * \cdots * h_q^{*n_q} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} A_{1j} h_1^{*j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} A_{2j} h_2^{*j} + \cdots + \sum_{j=1}^{n_q} A_{qj} h_q^{*j}, \tag{21}$$

where $A_{sj} \in \mathbb{C}$ are scalars that can be computed by solving a linear system $VA = B$ where $V$ is the $n \times n$ nonsingular confluent (or generalized) Vandermonde matrix defined by $V = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 & V_2 & \cdots & V_q \end{bmatrix}$, where each block $V_s$ is the $n \times n_s$ matrix whose entries are defined by

$$(V_s)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & i < j \\ \binom{i-1}{j-1} r_s^{i-j}, & i \geq j \end{cases}$$

$A$ and $B$ are the $n$-column vectors $A = (A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_q)$, each $A_s$ is a $n_s$-column vector, and $B = (0_1, 0_2, \ldots, B_q)$, where $0_s$ are $n_s$-column zero vectors and $B_q$ is the $n_q$-column vector $(0, 0, \cdots, 1)$ that is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_1 & V_2 & \cdots & V_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ A_q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_1 \\ 0_2 \\ 0_3 \\ \vdots \\ B_q \end{bmatrix} \tag{22}$$

So, vector $A$ is the last ($n$-th) column of the inverse of $V$. Alternatively, using Lemma 3.2.1, we can rewrite (21) as

$$h_1^{*n_1} * h_2^{*n_2} * \cdots * h_q^{*n_q} = p_1 h_1 + p_2 h_2 + \cdots + p_q h_q \tag{23}$$

where each $p_s$, $s = 1, \ldots, q$, is a polynomial defined as

$$p_s(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_s} A_{sj} \frac{t^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}$$

*Proof.* We use induction on $q$ to prove (21), which is valid for $q = 2$, as shown in Lemma 3.2.3. Suppose

(21) is valid for $q = k$, and we prove it for $q = k + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^{*n_1} * h_2^{*n_2} * \cdots * h_k^{*n_k} * h_{k+1}^{*n_{k+1}} &= (h_1^{*n_1} * h_2^{*n_2} * \cdots * h_k^{*n_k}) * h_{k+1}^{*n_{k+1}} \\ &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} A_{1j} h_1^{*j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} A_{2j} h_2^{*j} + \cdots + \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} A_{kj} h_k^{*j} \right) * h_{k+1}^{*n_{k+1}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} A_{1j} (h_1^{*j} * h_{k+1}^{*n_{k+1}}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} A_{2j} (h_2^{*j} * h_{k+1}^{*n_{k+1}}) + \cdots + \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} A_{kj} (h_k^{*j} * h_{k+1}^{*n_{k+1}}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} B_{1j} h_1^{*j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} B_{2j} h_2^{*j} + \cdots + \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} B_{kj} h_k^{*j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k+1}} B_{(k+1)j} h_{k+1}^{*j} \end{aligned}$$

and the (21) is proved. To prove (22) we take the $i$-th derivative at $t = 0^+$ on both sides of (21) to get:

$$\begin{aligned} (h_1^{*n_1} * h_2^{*n_2} * \cdots * h_q^{*n_q})^{(i)}(0^+) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} A_{1j} (h_1^{*j})^{(i)}(0^+) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} A_{2j} (h_2^{*j})^{(i)}(0^+) + \cdots \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_q} A_{qj} (h_q^{*j})^{(i)}(0^+), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 to left side of equation above and using the fact that $(h_k^{*1})^{(i)}(0^+) = h_k^{(i)}(0^+) = r_k^i$ along with Lemma 3.2.2, i.e., for $j \geq 2$:

$$(h_s^{*j})^{(i)}(0^+) = \begin{cases} 0, & i = 0, 1, \ldots, j-2 \\ \binom{i}{j-1} r_s^{i-j+1}, & i \geq j-1 \end{cases}$$

we get (22). □

## 3.1 Solution of ordinary differential equations with constant coefficients

Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1} y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_1 \dot{y} + a_0 y = u, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{R} \tag{24}$$

which models an $n$ order (causal) linear time invariant (LIT) system with input signal $u$ and output signal $y$. The impulse response ($h$) for this system is given by the convolution [3]:

$$h = h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n, \quad h_i(t) = e^{r_i t} \sigma(t), \quad r_i \in \mathbb{C}$$

and $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n$ are the roots of the characteristic equation $x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0 = 0$ associated to (24). Supposing that the characteristic equation has $q$ distinct roots $r_s$, each one repeated $n_s$ times, so that $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_q = n$, then we can obtain the impulse response $h$ by using Theorem 3.3, Equation (23), that is

$$h = p_1 h_1 + p_2 h_2 + \cdots + p_q h_q, \quad h_s(t) = e^{r_s t}, \quad p_s(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_s} A_{sj} \frac{t^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}, \quad t > 0 \tag{25}$$

where $A_{sj}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n_s$ and $s = 1, \ldots, q$ are calculated by solving the Vandermonde system (22).

The complete solution of (24) is generally written as

$$y = y_h + y_p \tag{26}$$

where $y_h$ is the homogeneous (or zero input) solution and $y_p$ is a particular solution, i.e., it depends on input signal $u$. When solving (24) for $t \geq 0$, the particular solution $y_p$ can be written as

$$y_p(t) = \int_0^t u(\tau) h(t-\tau) d\tau = [(u\sigma) * h](t), \quad \text{where } (u\sigma)(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t < 0 \\ u(t), & t > 0 \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

The homogeneous solution ($y_h$) has the same format of (25), that is

$$y_h = \bar{p}_1 h_1 + \bar{p}_2 h_2 + \cdots + \bar{p}_q h_q, \quad h_s(t) = e^{r_s t}, \text{ and } \bar{p}_s(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_s} \bar{A}_{sj} \frac{t^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}. \tag{28}$$

Therefore to solve (24) we need to obtain $y_h$, which is equivalent to obtain the constants $\bar{A}_{sj}$ in (28), and then compute $y_p$, by evaluating the convolution "$(u\sigma) * h$" as showed in (27). To find $y_h$ we use the fact that the particular solution $y_p$ is a convolution between $n+1$ signals, namely, "$(u\sigma) * h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n$" , and conclude, by using Theorem 3.1, that:

$$y_p(0^+) = \dot{y}_p(0^+) = \ddot{y}_p(0^+) = \cdots = y_p^{(n-1)}(0^+) = 0$$

and so, using these conditions in (26), we get:

$$y(0^+) = y_h(0^+), \quad \dot{y}(0^+) = \dot{y}_h(0^+), \quad \ddot{y}(0^+) = \ddot{y}_h(0^+), \quad \cdots \quad y^{(n-1)}(0^+) = y_h^{(n-1)}(0^+).$$

This set of conditions on $y_h$ can be used to find the constants $\bar{A}_{sj}$ in (28) since the "initial values" $y(0), \dot{y}(0), \ddot{y}(0), \ldots, y^{(n-1)}(0)$ are generally known when solving (24) for $t \geq 0$. This implies that the constants $\bar{A}_{sj}$, $s = 1, \ldots q$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n_s$, can be computed by solving a Vandermonde system like the one showed in Theorem 3.3, that is $V\bar{A} = \bar{B}$, where the Vandermonde matrix $V$ is the same one used to compute the impulse response $h$, $\bar{A}$ is the $n \times 1$ vector composed by the $\bar{A}_{sj}$'s and the vector $\bar{B}$, differently from the one used to compute $h$, it is now defined as $\bar{B} = (y(0), \dot{y}(0), \ddot{y}(0), \cdots, y^{n-1}(0))$.

Finally, in order to obtain the complete solution $y$ for (24) as shown in (26), we need to compute the particular solution "$y_p = (u\sigma) * h$", that is the convolution between the input signal $u\sigma$ and the impulse response $h$, and to avoid solving a convolution integral we can use the result of Theorem 3.3, by writing, if possible, the signal "$u\sigma$" as a convolution (or a finite sum) of exponential signals of type "$e^{rt}\sigma(t)$", for some $r \in \mathbb{C}$. In this situation, as shown in examples in Section 5.1 bellow, we increase the order of the Vandermonde matrix, as defined in Theorem 3.3, depending on how many "exponential modes" exists in the input signal "$u\sigma$".

# 4 Convolution between discrete time exponential signals

In the context of discrete time signals we consider the exponential signal $e : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ defined as

$$e(k) = r^k\sigma(k), \quad r \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \sigma(k) = \begin{cases} 0, & k < 0 \\ 1, & k \geq 0 \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

And also consider the signal defined as a right shift of "$e$" by one unit, that is $h = [e]_1$, or:

$$h(k) = r^{k-1}\sigma(k-1), \tag{30}$$

which is well known to appear as the impulse response of (causal) linear time invariant systems (LTI) modeled by a first order difference equation, since it satisfies the relationship $h(k+1) = rh(k) + \delta(k)$. Now lets consider the convolution between two signals of this kind, that is, let be $h_1(k) = r_1^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)$ and $h_2(k) = r_2^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)$, with $r_1 \neq 0$ and $r_2 \neq 0$. Since both of them are time shift of exponentials as defined in (29), we can write $h_1 = [e_1]_1$ and $h_2 = [e_2]_1$, where $e_1(k) = r_1^k\sigma(k)$ and $e_2 = r_2^k\sigma(k)$, and then:

$$h_1 * h_2 = [e_1]_1 * [e_2]_1 = (e_1 * [\delta]_1) * (e_2 * [\delta]_1) = (e_2 * e_2) * ([\delta]_1 * [\delta]_1) = (e_1 * e_2) * [\delta]_2 = [e_1 * e_2]_2$$

therefore, $h_1 * h_2$ can be obtained by a right time shift of $e_1 * e_2$ by two units. We develop $e_1 * e_2$ instead, noting that $(e_1 * e_2)(k) = 0$ for $k < 0$, since both $e_1(k)$ and $e_2(k)$ are null for $k < 0$ and

$$(e_1 * e_2)(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} r_1^j r_2^{k-j}, \quad \text{for } k \geq 0 \tag{31}$$

Additionally we also have that $(e_1 * e_2)(0) = r_1^0 r_2^0 = 1$. Then, before solving this summation, we note that the convolution $h_1 * h_2$ is such that $(h_1 * h_2)(k) = 0$ for $k \leq 0$, and, more importantly:

$$(h_1 * h_2)(1) = 0 \tag{32}$$
$$(h_1 * h_2)(2) = 1 \tag{33}$$

since $h_1 * h_2$ is a right shift of $e_1 * e_2$ by two units.

We now develop the summation in (31) by considering two cases:

1. $r_1 \neq r_2$ (or $e_1 \neq e_2$):

$$\begin{aligned}(e_1 * e_2)(k) &= r_2^k[1 + (r_1/r_2) + (r_1/r_2)^2 + \cdots + (r_1/r_2)^k] \\ &= r_2^k \frac{(r_1^{k+1}/r_2^{k+1}) - 1}{(r_1/r_2) - 1} \\ &= \frac{r_1^{k+1} - r_2^{k+1}}{r_1 - r_2}\end{aligned}$$

and since $h_1 * h_2 = [e_1 * e_2]_2$, then $(h_1 * h_2)(k) = (e_1 * e_2)(k-2)$ or:

$$(h_1 * h_2)(k) = \frac{1}{r_1 - r_2} r_1^{k-1}\sigma(k-1) + \frac{1}{r_2 - r_1} r_2^{k-1}\sigma(k-1), \text{ or} \tag{34}$$

$$(h_1 * h_2)(k) = A_1 h_1(k) + A_2 h_2(k), \quad A_1 = \frac{1}{r_1 - r_2} \text{ and } A_2 = \frac{1}{r_2 - r_1} \tag{35}$$

**Remark 4.0.1.** Note that in case where $r_1$ and $r_2$ is a complex conjugate pair, represented by $\alpha \pm j\omega = Re^{\pm j\phi}$, we get from (34) that $(h_1 * h_2)(k) = (R^{k-1}/\omega)\sin[(k-1)\phi]$, for $k \geq 1$.

From Equation (35) we see that, in case that $r_1 \neq r_2$, the convolution $h_1 * h_2$ can be written as a *linear combination* of signals $h_1$ and $h_2$, and this fact, along with conditions (32) and (33), can be used to find the scalars $A_1$ and $A_2$, without the need of solving the convolution sum (31), as shown bellow:

$$\begin{aligned}(h_1 * h_2)(1) &= A_1 h_1(1) + A_2 h_2(1) = A_1 + A_2 = 0 \\ (h_1 * h_2)(2) &= A_1 h_1(2) + A_2 h_2(2) = A_1 r_1 + A_2 r_2 = 1\end{aligned}$$

And then:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ r_1 & r_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ r_1 & r_2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{36}$$

Solving (36) we get $A_1$ and $A_2$ as shown in (35).

2. $r_1 = r_2 = r$ (or $e_1 = e_2 = e$):

$$(e * e)(k) = r^k \sum_{j=0}^{k} r^j * r^{-j} = (k+1)r^k, \quad k \geq 0$$

and then, since $h_1 = h_2 = h = [e]_1$, $(h * h)(k) = (e * e)(k-2)$ is given by

$$(h * h)(k) = \begin{cases} 0, & k \leq 1 \\ (k-1)r^{k-2}, & k \geq 2 \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

Now we consider a generalization of the results above for a convolution of $n \geq 2$ exponential signals as shown in (30). We start by finding a generalization for conditions (32) and (33) applied to the convolution $h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n$, with $h_i(k) = r_i^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)$ and $n \geq 2$:

**Theorem 4.1.** Consider the convolution $h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n$, $n \geq 2$ and each $h_i(k) = r_i^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)$, $r_i \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we have

$$(h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n)(k) = \begin{cases} 0, & k \leq n-1 \\ 1, & k = n \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Defining $e_i(k) = r_i^k\sigma(k)$, we note that $h_i = [e_i]_1$ and then

$$(h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n) = ([e_1]_1 * [e_2]_1 * \cdots * [e_n]_1) = [e_1 * e_2 * \cdots * e_n]_n$$

that is, $h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n$ is a time shift right of $e_1 * e_2 * \cdots * e_n$ by $n$ units, and since $(e_1 * e_2 * \cdots * e_n)(k) = 0$ for $k < 0$ and $(e_1 * e_2 * \cdots * e_n)(0) = 1$ the result is proved. □

In the following we will find a formula for computing the convolution $h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n$ for $n \geq 2$ and $h_j(k) = r_j^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)$ with $r_j \in \mathbb{C}$. To begin with, we consider the case where $h_i \neq h_j$ for $i \neq j$, which implies $r_i \neq r_j$ for $i \neq j$, and it is just a generalization of Equation (36):

**Theorem 4.2.** The convolution between $n \geq 2$ exponentials signals $h_j(k) = r_j^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, with $r_j \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $h_i \neq h_j$ for $i \neq j$, is given by

$$h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n = A_1 h_1 + A_2 h_2 + \cdots + A_n h_n, \tag{38}$$

where $A_j \in \mathbb{C}$ are scalars that can be computed by solving a linear system $VA = B$ where $V$ is the $n \times n$ (nonsingular) Vandermonde matrix defined by $V_{ij} = r_j^{i-1}$, $A$ and $B$ are the $n$-column vectors $A = (A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n)$ and $B = (0, 0, \ldots, 1)$, that is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ r_1 & r_2 & \cdots & r_n \\ r_1^2 & r_2^2 & \cdots & r_n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ r_1^{n-1} & r_2^{n-1} & \cdots & r_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ A_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{39}$$

So, vector $A$ is the last ($n$-th) column of the inverse of $V$.

*Proof.* We use induction on $n$ to prove (38), which is valid for $n = 2$, as shown in (35). Suppose (38) is valid for $n = k$, and we prove it for $n = k+1$ following the same reasoning we used to prove (18) in Theorem 3.2. To prove (39) we apply the result of Theorem 4.1 to Equation (38). Taking the value at $k = i$ on both sides of (38) we have:

$$(h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n)(i) = A_1 h_1(i) + A_2 h_2(i) + \cdots + A_n h_n(i), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

Using Theorem 4.1 and the fact that $h_j(i) = r_j^{i-1}$ we get (39).

□

Now we consider the more general convolution $h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n$, $n \geq 2$, where there is the possibility of some $h_i$ to be repeated in the convolution, that is $h_i = h_j$ for some $i \neq j$. To begin with, we consider some facts about "$n$-power" convolution of discrete time exponentials, that is, the convolution of $h$, as defined in (30), repeated between itself $n$ times, that we represent it by $h^{*n}$ (in Equation (37) we have a formula for $h^{*2}$). The Lemma bellow shows a generalization of Theorem 4.1 applied to the "$n$-power" convolution of the exponential signal:

**Lemma 4.2.1.** The power convolution of $n \geq 1$ exponentials $e(k) = r^k\sigma(k)$, $r \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$, denoted by $e^{*n}$, is given by

$$e^{*n}(k) = \underbrace{(e * e * \cdots * e)}_{n \text{ terms}}(k) = \begin{cases} 0, & k < 0 \\ \binom{n-1+k}{n-1} r^k, & k \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

or, in a more compact notation

$$e^{*n}(k) = \binom{n-1+k}{n-1} r^k \sigma(k) = \binom{n-1+k}{n-1} e(k) \tag{40}$$

*Proof.* By induction on $n$. It is trivially true for $n = 1$ and suppose it is valid for $n = p$ then

$$e^{*p}(k) = \binom{p-1+k}{p-1} r^k \sigma(k)$$

Obviously $e^{*(p+1)}(k) = 0$ for $k < 0$ since $e(k) = 0$ for $k < 0$; for $k \geq 0$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{*(p+1)}(k) = (e^{*p} * e)(k) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k} e^{*p}(j) e(k-j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{p-1+j}{p-1} r^j r^{(k-j)} \\ &= r^k \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{p-1+j}{p-1} \\ &= r^k \binom{p+k}{p} \end{aligned}$$

In the last step of the proof above we used the following well-known fact about sum of binomial coefficients [8]:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{p-1+j}{p-1}=\binom{p-1}{p-1}+\binom{p}{p-1}+\binom{p+1}{p-1}+\cdots+\binom{p-1+k}{p-1}=\binom{p+k}{p}$$

□

**Corollary 4.2.1.** If we consider the $n$-power convolution of exponentials $h(k)=r^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)$, that is $h=[e]_1$, we have:

$$h^{*n}(k)=\begin{cases}0, & k\leq n-1\\ \binom{k-1}{n-1}r^{k-n}, & k\geq n\end{cases} \tag{41}$$

equivalently

$$h^{*n}(k)=\frac{1}{r^{n-1}}\binom{k-1}{n-1}h(k),\quad n\geq 1 \tag{42}$$

since it is assumed that $\binom{k-1}{n-1}=0$ for $k=1,2,\ldots,n-1$.

*Proof.* Since $h=[e]_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}h^{*n} &= \underbrace{(h*h*\cdots*h)}_{n\text{ terms}}\\ &= [e]_1*[e]_1*\cdots*[e]_1\\ &= [e*e*\cdots*e]_n\\ &= [e^n]_n\end{aligned}$$

that is, $h^{*n}$ is $e^{*n}$ (right) shifted $n$ units. Then we have by setting $k:=k-n$ in (40):

$$h^{*n}(k)=\binom{k-1}{n-1}r^{k-n}\sigma(k-n) \tag{43}$$

which is equivalent to (41). To obtain (42), we note that $\binom{k-1}{n-1}=0$, for $k=1,2,\ldots n-1$, and so (43) can be rewriten as

$$h^{*n}(k)=\binom{k-1}{n-1}r^{k-n}\sigma(k-1)=\frac{1}{r^{n-1}}\binom{k-1}{n-1}r^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)=\frac{1}{r^{n-1}}\binom{k-1}{n-1}h(k)$$

□

Now we analyse how it would be like the convolution $h_1^{*n_1}*h_2^{*n_2}$, where $h_1(k)=r_1^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)$ and $h_2(k)=r_2^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)$, with $r_1\neq r_2$:

**Lemma 4.2.2.** Let be $h_1(t)=r_1^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)$ and $h_2(k)=r_2^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)$, with $r_1\neq r_2$, the convolution between the $n_1$-power convolution of $h_1$ and the $n_2$-power convolution of $h_2$, denoted by $h_1^{*n_1}*h_2^{*n_2}$, is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}h_1^{*n_1}*h_2^{*n_2} &= \underbrace{(h_1*h_1*\cdots*h_1)}_{n_1\text{ terms}}*\underbrace{(h_2*h_2*\cdots*h_2)}_{n_2\text{ terms}}\\ &= (A_1h_1+A_2h_1^{*2}+\cdots A_{n_1}h_1^{*n_1})+(B_1h_2+B_2h_2^{*2}+\cdots B_{n_2}h_1^{*n_2})\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We prove by induction on $(n_1,n_2)$. It is true for $(n_1,n_2)=(1,1)$ as shown in (35). The inductive step is the same one used in the proof of Lemma 3.2.3 for the analog time case. □

In the following we prove the general result about the convolution of $n$ exponential signals as show in (30) which is a generalization of Theorem 4.2:

**Theorem 4.3.** The convolution between $n\geq 2$ exponentials signals $h_i(k)=r_i^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)$, $i=1,2,\ldots,n$, with $r_i\neq 0\in\mathbb{C}$, and $q$ distinct $h_s$, each of them repeated $n_s$ times, so that $n_1+n_2+\cdots n_q=n$, is given by

$$h_1^{*n_1}*h_2^{*n_2}*\cdots*h_q^{*n_q}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_1}A_{1j}h_1^{*j}+\sum_{j=1}^{n_2}A_{2j}h_2^{*j}+\cdots+\sum_{j=1}^{n_q}A_{qj}h_q^{*j}, \tag{44}$$

where $A_{sj} \in \mathbb{C}$ are scalars that can be computed by solving a linear system $VA = B$ where $V$ is the $n \times n$ (nonsingular) confluent (or generalized) Vandermonde matrix defined by $V = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 & V_2 & \cdots & V_q \end{bmatrix}$, where each block $V_s$ is the $n \times n_s$ matrix whose entries are defined by

$$(V_s)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & i < j \\ \binom{i-1}{j-1} r_s^{i-j}, & i \geq j \end{cases}$$

$A$ and $B$ are the $n$-column vectors $A = (A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_q)$, each $A_s$ is a $n_s$-column vector, and $B = (0_1, 0_2, \ldots, B_q)$, where $0_s$ are $n_s$-column zero vectors and $B_q$ is the $n_q$-column vector $(0, 0, \cdots, 1)$ that is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_1 & V_2 & \cdots & V_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ A_q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_1 \\ 0_2 \\ 0_3 \\ \vdots \\ B_q \end{bmatrix} \tag{45}$$

So, vector $A$ is the last ($n$-th) column of the inverse of $V$. Alternatively, using Equation (42), we can rewrite (44) as

$$h_1^{*n_1} * h_2^{*n_2} * \cdots * h_q^{*n_q} = p_1 h_1 + p_2 h_2 + \cdots + p_q h_q \tag{46}$$

where each $p_s$, $s = 1, \ldots, q$, is a polynomial defined as

$$p_s(k) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_s} A_{sj} \frac{1}{r_s^{j-1}} \binom{k-1}{j-1}, \quad k \geq 1$$

*Proof.* We use induction on $q$ to prove (44), which is valid for $q = 2$, as shown in Lemma 4.2.2. The inductive step follows in the same way we did in the proof of Theorem 3.3. To prove (45) we evaluate Equation (44) at $k = i$ to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (h_1^{*n_1} * h_2^{*n_2} * \cdots * h_q^{*n_q})(i) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} A_{1j} h_1^{*j}(i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} A_{2j} h_2^{*j}(i) + \cdots \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_q} A_{qj} h_q^{*j}(i), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the result of Theorem 4.1 to the left side of this equation and using Lemma 4.2.1, Equation (41), that is for $j \geq 1$:

$$h_s^{*j}(i) = \begin{cases} 0, & i \leq j-1 \\ \binom{i-1}{j-1} r_s^{i-j}, & i \geq j \end{cases}$$

we get (45). □

## 4.1 Solution of difference equations with constant coefficients

Consider the "$n$ order" difference equation

$$y(k+n) + a_{n-1} y(k+n-1) + \cdots + a_1 y(k+1) + a_0 y(k) = u(k) \tag{47}$$

which models an $n$ order discrete time (causal) linear time invariant (LIT) system with input signal $u$ and output signal $y$. The impulse response ($h$) for this system is given by the convolution [3]:

$$h = h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n, \quad h_i(k) = r_i^{k-1} \sigma(k-1), \quad r_i \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$$

and $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n$ are the roots of the characteristic equation $x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0 = 0$ associated to (47), which all are assumed to be non-zero.[2] Supposing that the characteristic equation has $q$ distinct

[2]Zero roots are discarded and order of the difference equation reduced by the amount of discarded roots. The final solution is then the solution of the reduced order equation right-shifted as many units as the number of zero roots of the characteristic equation (see examples in Section 5.2).

non-zero roots $r_s$, each one repeated $n_s$ times, so that $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_q = n$, then we can obtain $h$ by using Theorem 4.3, Equation (46), that is

$$h = p_1 h_1 + p_2 h_2 + \cdots + p_q h_p, \quad h_s(k) = r_s^{k-1}, \quad p_s(k) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_s} A_{sj} \frac{1}{r_s^{j-1}} \binom{k-1}{j-1}, \quad k \geq 1 \tag{48}$$

where $A_{sj}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n_s$ and $s = 1, \ldots, q$ are calculated by solving the Vandermonde system (45).

The solution of (47) for $k \geq 0$ can be written as:

$$y = y_h + y_p \tag{49}$$

where $y_h$ is the homogeneous (or zero input) solution and $y_p$ is a particular solution, i.e., it depends on the input signal $u$. When solving (49) for $k \geq 0$, the particular solution can be written as

$$y_p(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} u(j)h(k-j) = [(u\sigma) * h](k), \quad \text{where } (u\sigma)(k) = \begin{cases} 0, & k < 0 \\ u(k), & k \geq 0 \end{cases} \tag{50}$$

The homogeneous solution has the same format of (48), that is

$$y_h = \bar{p}_1 \bar{h}_1 + \bar{p}_2 \bar{h}_2 + \cdots + \bar{p}_q \bar{h}_q, \quad \bar{h}_s(k) = r_s^k, \text{ and } \bar{p}_s(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_s - 1} \bar{A}_{sj} \frac{1}{r_s^j} \binom{k}{j}, \quad k \geq 0 \tag{51}$$

Therefore to solve (47) we need to obtain $y_h$, which is equivalent obtain the constants $\bar{A}_{sj}$ in (51), and then obtain $y_p$, by evaluating the convolution "$(u\sigma) * h$" as shown in (50). Since the particular solution $y_p$ is, in fact, a convolution between $n + 1$ signals, namely, "$(u\sigma) * h_1 * h_2 * \cdots * h_n$" , we conclude, by using Theorem 3.1, that:

$$y_p(0) = y_p(1) = y_p(2) = \cdots = y_p(n-1) = 0$$

and so, by (49), we have that:

$$y(0) = y_h(0), \quad y(1) = y_h(1), \quad y(2) = y_h(2), \quad \cdots \quad y(n-1) = y_h(n-1)$$

which can be used in (51) to find the constants $\bar{A}_{sj}$, $j = 1, \ldots n_s$ and $s = 1, \ldots q$, since the "initial values" $y(0), y(1), y(2), \ldots, y(n-1)$ are generally known when solving (47) for $k \geq 0$. In fact, constants $\bar{A}_{sj}$ are computed by solving a Vandermonde system like the one showed in Theorem 4.3, that is $V\bar{A} = \bar{B}$, where the Vandermonde matrix $V$ is the same one used to compute the impulse response $h$, $\bar{A}$ is the $n \times 1$ vector composed by the $\bar{A}_{sj}$'s and the vector $\bar{B}$, differently from the one used to compute $h$, it is defined as $\bar{B} = (y(0), y(1), y(2), \cdots, y(n-1))$.

Finally, in order to obtain the complete solution $y$ for (47) as shown in (49), we need to compute the particular solution "$y_p = (u\sigma) * h$", that is the convolution between the input signal $u\sigma$ and the inpulse response $h$, and this can be done by the result of Theorem 4.3 if we can write the signal "$u\sigma$" as a convolution (or a sum) of exponential signals of type "$r^k\sigma(k)$", for some $r \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$. In this situation, as shown in examples bellow, we increase the order of the Vandermonde matrix, as defined in Theorem 4.3, depending on how many "exponential modes" exists in the input signal "$u\sigma$". In Section 5.2 we apply these results to the resolution of some specific difference equations.

# 5 Examples

Bellow we apply the results discussed in previous sections to the solution to some specific differential/difference equations.

## 5.1 Differential Equations

**Example 5.1.1.** Let be the second order initial value problem (IVP):

$$\ddot{y} + 3\dot{y} + 2y = 1, \quad \text{with } y(0) = -1 \text{ and } \dot{y}(0) = 2. \tag{52}$$

To find the solution $y$, we consider the characteristic equation is $x^2 + 3x + 2 = 0$ whose roots as $r_1 = -1$ and $r_2 = -2$.

(a) Impulse response: $h(t) = A_1e^{-t} + A_2e^{-2t}$, where $A_1$ and $A_2$ are computed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which implies $h(t) = e^{-t} - e^{-2t}$.

(b) Homogeneous solution: $y_h(t) = B_1e^{-t} + B_2e^{-2t}$, where $B_1$ and $B_2$ are computed as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y(0) \\ \dot{y}(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which implies $y_h(t) = -e^{-2t}$.

(c) Particular solution: $y_p = (u\sigma) * h$, and $(u\sigma)(t) = 1.\sigma(t) = e^{0t}\sigma(t)$, then

$$y_p = (u\sigma) * h = h * (u\sigma) = h_1 * h_2 * h_3$$

where $h_1(t) = e^{-t}\sigma(t), h_2(t) = e^{-2t}\sigma(t)$ and $h_3(t) = e^{0t}\sigma(t)$, or:

$$y_p(t) = C_1e^{-t} + C_2e^{-2t} + C_3e^{0t}$$

where $C_1, C_2$ and $C_3$ are compute as the solution of the "augmented" Vandermonde system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

which implies $y_p(t) = -e^{-t} + 0.5e^{-2t} + 0.5$.

Finally, the solution for the IVP (52) is $y = y_h + y_p$ or

$$y(t) = -e^{-t} - 0.5e^{-2t} + 0.5$$

**Example 5.1.2.** Let be the following third order IVP

$$\dddot{y} + 7\ddot{y} + 20\dot{y} + 24y = \sin 2t, \quad y(0) = 0, \dot{y}(0) = 1, \ddot{y}(0) = -3 \tag{53}$$

The characteristic equation is $x^3 + 7x + 20x + 24 = 0$ whose roots are $r_1 = -3, r_2 = -2 + 2i$ and $r_3 = -2 - 2i$.

(a) Impulse response: $h(t) = A_1e^{-3t} + A_2e^{(-2+2i)t} + A_3e^{(-2-2i)t}$, and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -3 & -2+2i & -2-2i \\ 9 & -8i & 8i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \\ -0.1 - 0.05i \\ -0.1 + 0.05i \end{bmatrix}$$

and then

$$h(t) = 0.2e^{-3t} + (-0.1 - 0.05i)e^{(-2+2i)t} + (-0.1 + 0.05i)e^{(-2-2i)t}$$

which (optionally) can be simplified to

$$h(t) = 0.2e^{-3t} - 0.2e^{-2t}\cos 2t + 0.1e^{-2t}\sin 2t.$$

(b) Homogeneous solution: $y_h(t) = B_1e^{-3t} + B_2e^{(-2+2i)t} + B_3e^{(-2-2i)t}$, and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -3 & -2+2i & -2-2i \\ 9 & -8i & 8i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ B_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ B_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \\ -0.1 - 0.3i \\ -0.1 + 0.3i \end{bmatrix}$$

and then

$$y_h(t) = 0.2e^{-3t} + (-0.1 - 0.3i)e^{(-2+2i)t} + (-0.1 + 0.3i)e^{(-2-2i)t}$$

or

$$y_h(t) = 0.2e^{-3t} - 0.2e^{-2t}\cos 2t + 0.6e^{-2t}\sin 2t.$$

(c) Particular solution: $y_p = (u\sigma) * h$, since $u(t) = \sin 2t$ we have two possibilites:

$$u\sigma = 2(h_4 * h_5) \quad \text{or} \quad u\sigma = \frac{h_4 + h_5}{2i}, \quad \text{where } h_4(t) = e^{2it}\sigma(t) \text{ and } h_5(t) = e^{-2it}\sigma(t)$$

using $u\sigma = 2(h_4 * h_5)$ we have

$$y_p = 2(h_1 * h_2 * h_3 * h_4 * h_5),$$

where

$$h_1(t) = e^{-3t}\sigma(t), h_2(t) = e^{(-2+2i)t}\sigma(t), h_3(t) = e^{(-2-2i)t}\sigma(t), h_4(t) = e^{2it}\sigma(t), h_5(t) = e^{-2it}\sigma(t).$$

So, to compute $h_1 * h_2 * h_3 * h_4 * h_5$, we have the following (augmented) Vandemonde system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -3 & -2+2i & -2-2i & 2i & -2i \\ 9 & -8i & 8i & -4 & -4 \\ -27 & 16+16i & 16-16i & -8i & 8i \\ 81 & -64 & -64 & 16 & 16 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ C_4 \\ C_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies (2) \times \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ C_4 \\ C_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0307692 \\ -0.025i \\ 0.025i \\ -0.0153846 + 0.0019231i \\ -0.0153846 - 0.0019231i \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the particular solution is

$$y_p(t) = 0.0307692e^{-3t} + 0.05e^{-2t}\sin 2t - 0.0307692\cos 2t - 0.0038462\sin 2t$$

Finally, the solution $y = y_h + y_p$ for the IVP (53) is given by:

$$y(t) = 0.2307692e^{-3t} - 0.2e^{-2t}\cos 2t + 0.65e^{-2t}\sin 2t - 0.0307692\cos 2t - 0.0038462\sin 2t$$

**Example 5.1.3.** Let be the following IVP

$$\ddot{y} + 4y = t\cos 2t, \quad y(0) = -2, \quad \dot{y}(0) = 4 \tag{54}$$

whose characteristic equation is $x^2 + 4 = 0$ which implies $r_1 = e^{2it}$ and $r_2 = e^{-2it}$.

(a) Impulse response: $h(t) = A_1e^{2it} + A_2e^{-2it}$, and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2i & -2i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.25i \\ 0.25i \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$h(t) = -025ie^{2it} + 0.25ie^{-2it} = 0.5\sin 2t.$$

(b) Homogeneous solution: $y_h(t) = B_1e^{2it} + B_2e^{-2it}$, and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2i & -2i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1-i \\ -1+i \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$y_h(t) = (-1-i)e^{2it} + (-1+i)e^{-2it} = -2\cos 2t + 2\sin 2t$$

(c) Particular solution: $y_p = (u\sigma) * h$, and $u(t) = t\cos 2t = t(e^{2it} + e^{-2it})/2$, or:

$$u = 0.5(u_1 + u_2), \quad u_1(t) = te^{2it}, \quad u_2(t) = te^{-2it}$$

and so, $y_p = 0.5(u_1\sigma) * h + 0.5(u_2\sigma) * h$. Since $u_1(t) = te^{2it}$ and $u_2(t) = te^{-2it}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_1\sigma &= h_3 * h_3, \quad h_3(t) = e^{2it}\sigma(t) \\ u_2\sigma &= h_4 * h_4, \quad h_4(t) = e^{-2it}\sigma(t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (u_1\sigma) * h &= h_1 * h_2 * h_3 * h_3, \quad h_1(t) = h_3(t) = e^{2it}\sigma(t), \quad h_2(t) = e^{-2it}\sigma(t) \\ (u_2\sigma) * h &= h_1 * h_2 * h_4 * h_4, \quad h_1(t) = e^{2it}\sigma(t), \quad h_2(t) = h_4(t) = e^{-2it}\sigma(t) \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}((u_1\sigma)*h)(t) &= C_0e^{-2it}+p(t)e^{2it}, \quad p(t)=C_1+C_2t+C_3t^2/2\\ ((u_2\sigma)*h)(t) &= D_0e^{2it}+q(t)e^{-2it}, \quad q(t)=D_1+D_2t+D_3t^2/2\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix}1 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ -2i & 2i & 1 & 0\\ -4 & -4 & 4i & 1\\ 8i & -8i & -12 & 6i\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}C_0\\C_1\\C_2\\C_3\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0\\0\\0\\1\end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix}C_0\\C_1\\C_2\\C_3\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}-0.015625i\\0.015625i\\0.0625\\-0.25i\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}1 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 2i & -2i & 1 & 0\\ -4 & -4 & -4i & 1\\ -8i & 8i & -12 & -6i\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}D_0\\D_1\\D_2\\D_3\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0\\0\\0\\1\end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix}D_0\\D_1\\D_2\\D_3\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0.015625i\\-0.015625i\\0.0625\\0.25i\end{bmatrix}$$

Since $y_p = 0.5(u_1\sigma)*h + 0.5(u_2\sigma)*h$ we have, after regrouping the terms

$$y_p(t) = -0.03125\sin 2t + 0.0625t\cos 2t + 0.125t^2\sin 2t$$

and the solution $y = y_h + y_p$ will be given by

$$y(t) = 1.96875\sin 2t - 2\cos 2t + 0.0625t\cos 2t + 0.125t^2\sin 2t$$

## 5.2 Difference Equations

**Example 5.2.1.** Let be the third order initial value problem (IVP):

$$y(k+3) - 1.5y(k+2) + 0.75y(k+1) - 0.125y(k) = 1, \quad y(0) = -1, y(1) = 2, y(2) = 0.8 \tag{55}$$

To find the solution $y$, we consider its characteristic equation $z^3 - 1.5z^2 + 0.75z - 0.125 = 0$ whose roots as $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = 0.5$.

(a) Impulse response ($k \geq 1$): $h(k) = p(k)(0.5)^{k-1}$ where $p(k) = A_1 + (k-1)A_2/0.5 + 0.5(k-1)(k-2)A_3/(0.5)^2$ with $A_1$, $A_2$ and $A_3$ being computed as

$$\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0 & 0\\ 0.5 & 1 & 0\\ 0.25 & 1 & 1\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}A_1\\A_2\\A_3\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix}A_1\\A_2\\A_3\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{bmatrix}$$

and then

$$h(k) = (k-1)(k-2)(0.5)^{k-2}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

(b) Homogeneous solution ($k \geq 0$): $y_h(k) = p(k)(0.5)^k$ where $p(k) = B_0 + kB_1/0.5 + 0.5k(k-1)B_2/(0.5)^2$ with $B_0$, $B_1$ and $B_2$ being computed as:

$$\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0 & 0\\ 0.5 & 1 & 0\\ 0.25 & 1 & 1\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}B_0\\B_1\\B_2\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}-1\\2\\0.8\end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix}B_0\\B_1\\B_2\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}-1\\2.5\\-1.45\end{bmatrix}$$

and then

$$y_h(k) = -(0.5)^k + 2.5k(0.5)^{k-1} - 1.45k(k-1)(0.5)^{k-1}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

(c) Particular solution ($k \geq 0$): $y_p = (u\sigma)*h$, and $(u\sigma) = (1\sigma) = \sigma$ then

$$y_p = (u\sigma)*h = h*(u\sigma) = h_1*h_2*h_3*\sigma$$

where $h_1(k) = h_2(k) = h_3(k) = (0.5)^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)$. Since $\sigma(0) = 1$ we will first compute $\bar{y}_p = h_1 * h_2 * h_3 * [\sigma]_1$, where $[\sigma]_1(k) = \sigma(k-1)$, in order we have a convolution in the format as required in Theorem 4.3; at the end we take $y_p(k) = \bar{y}_p(k+1)$. Then:

$$\bar{y}_p(k) = q(k)h_1(k) + C_4\sigma(k-1), \quad q(k) = C_1 + (k-1)C_2/(0.5) + 0.5(k-1)(k-2)C_3/(0.5)^2, \quad k \geq 1$$

where $C_1, C_2$, $C_3$ and $C_4$ are compute as the solution of the "augmented" Vandermonde system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0.5 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0.5^2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.5^3 & 0.75 & 1.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ C_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ C_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -8 \\ -4 \\ -2 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$\bar{y}_p(k) = -8(0.5)^{k-1} - 4(k-1)(0.5)^{k-2} - (k-1)(k-2)(0.5)^{k-3} + 8, \quad k \geq 1$$

and since $y_p(k) = \bar{y}_p(k+1)$ we have

$$y_p(k) = -8(0.5)^k - 4k(0.5)^{k-1} - k(k-1)(0.5)^{k-2} + 8, \quad k \geq 0$$

Finally, the solution for the IVP (55) is $y = y_h + y_p$, or

$$y(k) = -9(0.5)^k - 1.5k(0.5)^{k-1} - 3.45k(k-1)(0.5)^{k-1} + 8, \quad k \geq 0$$

**Example 5.2.2.** Let be the third order IVP:

$$y(k+3) - 1.4y(k+2) + 0.9y(k+1) - 0.2y(k) = k, \quad y(0) = 2, y(1) = -3, y(2) = 0.5 \tag{56}$$

whose characteristic polynomial is $-0.2 + 0.9z^2 - 1.4z^2 + z^3 = (z - 0.4)[(z - 0.5)^2 + 0.5]$.

(a) Impulse response ($k \geq 1$): $h(k) = A_1(0.4)^{k-1} + A_2(1/\sqrt{2})^{k-1}e^{j(k-1)\pi/4} + A_3(1/\sqrt{2})^{k-1}e^{-j(k-1)\pi/4}$ where $A_1$, $A_2$ and $A_3$ are computed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.4 & 0.5 + 0.5i & 0.5 - 0.5i \\ 0.16 & 0.5i & -0.5i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3.8461538 \\ -1.9230769 - 0.3846154i \\ -1.9230769 + 0.3846154i \end{bmatrix}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} h(k) &= 3.8461538(0.4)^{k-1} + (-1.9230769 - 0.3846154i)(1/\sqrt{2})^{k-1}e^{j(k-1)\pi/4} + \\ &\quad (-1.9230769 + 0.3846154i)(1/\sqrt{2})^{k-1}e^{-j(k-1)\pi/4} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} h(k) &= 3.8461538(0.4)^{k-1} - 3.8461538(1/\sqrt{2})^{k-1}\cos[(k-1)\pi/4] + \\ &\quad 0.7692308(1/\sqrt{2})^{k-1}\sin[(k-1)\pi/4], \quad k \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

(b) Homogeneous solution ($k \geq 0$): $y_h(k) = B_0(0.4)^k + B_1(1/\sqrt{2})^k e^{jk\pi/4} + B_2(1/\sqrt{2})^k e^{-jk\pi/4}$ with $B_0$, $B_1$ and $B_2$ being computed as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.4 & 0.5 + 0.5i & 0.5 - 0.5i \\ 0.16 & 0.5i & -0.5i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_0 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} B_0 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 17.307692 \\ -7.6538462 + 2.2692308i \\ -7.6538462 - 2.2692308i \end{bmatrix}$$

and then

$$y_h(k) = 17.307692(0.4)^k - 15.307692(1/\sqrt{2})^k\cos(k\pi/4) - 4.5384615(1/\sqrt{2})^k\sin(k\pi/4)$$

(c) Particular solution ($k \geq 0$): $y_p = (u\sigma) * h$ where $u(k) = k$, and then we need to write $k\sigma(k)$ as a sum of convolution of signals. From Remark 4.2.1 we have that $(\sigma * \sigma)(k) = k + 1$, then we easily get

$$k\sigma(k) = (\sigma * \sigma)(k) - \sigma(k), \quad \text{or } (u\sigma) = (\sigma * \sigma) - \sigma$$

then

$$y_p = (u\sigma) * h = h * (u\sigma) = \underbrace{h_1 * h_2 * h_3 * \sigma * \sigma}_{y_{p_1}} - \underbrace{h_1 * h_2 * h_3 * \sigma}_{y_{p_2}}$$

where $h_1(k) = (0.4)^{k-1}\sigma(k-1), h_2(k) = (0.5 + 0.5i)^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)$ and $h_3(k) = (0.5 - 0.5i)^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)$ and $y_{p_1}$ and $y_{p_2}$ can be calculated as:

**(c.1)** $y_{p_1}(k) = \bar{y}_{p_1}(k+2)$, where $\bar{y}_{p_1} = h_1 * h_2 * h_3 * [\sigma]_1 * [\sigma]_1$, or

$$\bar{y}_{p_1}(k) = C_1(0.4)^{k-1} + C_2(1/\sqrt{2})^{k-1}e^{j(k-1)\pi/4} + C_3(1/\sqrt{2})^{k-1}e^{-j(k-1)\pi/4} + C_4 + C_5(k-1),$$

with $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4$ and $C_5$ computed by solving

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.4 & 0.5+0.5i & 0.5-0.5i & 1 & 1 \\ 0.16 & 0.5i & -0.5i & 1 & 2 \\ 0.064 & -0.25+0.25i & -0.25-0.25i & 1 & 3 \\ 0.0256 & -0.25 & -0.25 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ C_4 \\ C_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ C_4 \\ C_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 10.683761 \\ 0.7692308 - 3.8461538i \\ 0.7692308 + 3.8461538i \\ -12.222222 \\ 3.3333333 \end{bmatrix}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y}_{p_1}(k) &= 10.683761(0.4)^{k-1} + 1.5384615(1/\sqrt{2})^{k-1}\cos[(k-1)\pi/4] + \\ &\quad 7.6923077(1/\sqrt{2})^{k-1}\sin[(k-1)\pi/4] - 12.222222 + 3.3333333(k-1) \end{aligned}$$

then $y_{p_1}(k) = \bar{y}_{p_1}(k+2)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_{p_1}(k) &= 10.683761(0.4)^{k+1} + 1.5384615(1/\sqrt{2})^{k+1}\cos[(k+1)\pi/4] + \\ &\quad 7.6923077(1/\sqrt{2})^{k+1}\sin[(k+1)\pi/4] - 12.222222 + 3.3333333(k+1) \end{aligned}$$

**(c.2)** $y_{p_2}(k) = \bar{y}_{p_2}(k+1)$, where $\bar{y}_{p_2} = h_1 * h_2 * h_3 * [\sigma]_1$, or

$$\bar{y}_{p_2}(k) = D_1(0.4)^{k-1} + D_2(1/\sqrt{2})^{k-1}e^{j(k-1)\pi/4} + D_3(1/\sqrt{2})^{k-1}e^{-j(k-1)\pi/4} + D_4,$$

with $D_1, D_2, D_3$ and $D_4$ computed by solving

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.4 & 0.5+0.5i & 0.5-0.5i & 1 \\ 0.16 & 0.5i & -0.5i & 1 \\ 0.064 & -0.25+0.25i & -0.25-0.25i & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_1 \\ D_2 \\ D_3 \\ D_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} D_1 \\ D_2 \\ D_3 \\ D_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -6.4102564 \\ 1.5384615 + 2.3076923i \\ 1.5384615 - 2.3076923i \\ 3.3333333 \end{bmatrix}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y}_{p_2}(k) &= -6.4102564(0.4)^{k-1} + 3.0769231(1/\sqrt{2})^{k-1}\cos[(k-1)\pi/4] - \\ &\quad 4.6153846(1/\sqrt{2})^{k-1}\sin[(k-1)\pi/4] + 3.3333333 \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$y_{p_2}(k) = -6.4102564(0.4)^{k} + 3.0769231(1/\sqrt{2})^{k}\cos[k\pi/4] - 4.6153846(1/\sqrt{2})^{k}\sin[k\pi/4] + 3.3333333$$

Therefore $y_p = y_{p_1} + y_{p_2}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_p(k) &= 10.683761(0.4)^{k+1} + 1.5384615(1/\sqrt{2})^{k+1}\cos[(k+1)\pi/4] + \\ &\quad 7.6923077(1/\sqrt{2})^{k+1}\sin[(k+1)\pi/4] - 12.222222 + 3.3333333(k+1) - 6.4102564(0.4)^{k} + \\ &\quad 3.0769231(1/\sqrt{2})^{k}\cos[k\pi/4] - 4.6153846(1/\sqrt{2})^{k}\sin[k\pi/4] + 3.3333333 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the solution for the IVP (55) is $y = y_h + y_p$, or

$$\begin{aligned} y(k) &= 17.307692(0.4)^{k} - 15.307692(1/\sqrt{2})^{k}\cos(k\pi/4) - 4.5384615(1/\sqrt{2})^{k}\sin(k\pi/4) + \\ &\quad 10.683761(0.4)^{k+1} + 1.5384615(1/\sqrt{2})^{k+1}\cos[(k+1)\pi/4] + \\ &\quad 7.6923077(1/\sqrt{2})^{k+1}\sin[(k+1)\pi/4] - 12.2222222 + 3.3333333(k+1) - \\ &\quad 6.4102564(0.4)^{k} + 3.0769231(1/\sqrt{2})^{k}\cos[k\pi/4] - 4.6153846(1/\sqrt{2})^{k}\sin[k\pi/4] + \\ &\quad 3.3333333 \end{aligned}$$

which, in turn, can be simplified to

$$y(k) = 15.17094(0.4)^{k} - 7.6153843(1/\sqrt{2})^{k}\cos(k\pi/4) - 6.076923(1/\sqrt{2})^{k}\sin(k\pi/4) + 3.3333333k - 5.5555556$$

**Example 5.2.3.** Let be the third order IVP:

$$y(k+3)+y(k+1)=\sin(k\pi/2),\quad y(0)=1, y(1)=y(2)=0 \tag{57}$$

whose characteristic equation is $z^3+z=z(z^2+1)=0$, and $r_1=j, r_2=-j$ and $r_3=0$. We discard $r_3=0$ and solve a second order equation and at the end shift the solution by one unity to the right.

(a) Impulse response ($k\geq 1$): $h(k)=A_1(j)^{k-1}+A_2(-j)^{k-1}$ where $A_1$ and $A_2$ are computed as

$$\begin{bmatrix}1 & 1\\ j & -j\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}A_1\\ A_2\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}0\\ 1\end{bmatrix}\implies\begin{bmatrix}A_1\\ A_2\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}-j/2\\ j/2\end{bmatrix}$$

which implies $h(k)=-j/2(j)^{k-1}+j/2(-j)^{k-1}$ or $h(k)=-\cos(k\pi/2)$, $k\geq 1$

(b) Homogeneous solution ($k\geq 0$): $y_h(k)=B_0(j)^k+B_1(-j)^k$ with $B_0$ and $B_1$ being computed as:

$$\begin{bmatrix}1 & 1\\ j & -j\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}B_0\\ B_1\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}0\\ 0\end{bmatrix}\implies\begin{bmatrix}B_0\\ B_1\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}0\\ 0\end{bmatrix}$$

and then $y_h(k)=0$.

(c) Particular solution ($k\geq 0$): $y_p=(u\sigma)*h$ where $u(k)=\sin(k\pi/2)=(1/2j)(j)^k-(1/2j)(-j)^k$. Then

$$y_p=(1/2j)\underbrace{(u_1*h_1*h_2)}_{y_{p_1}}-(1/2j)\underbrace{(u_2*h_1*h_2)}_{y_{p_2}}$$

where $u_1(k)=(j)^k\sigma(k), u_2(k)=(-j)^k\sigma(k), h_1(k)=(j)^{k-1}\sigma(k-1), h_2(k)=(-j)^{k-1}\sigma(k-1)$ and $y_{p_1}(k)=\bar{y}_{p_1}(k+1)$ and $y_{p_2}(k)=\bar{y}_{p_2}(k+1)$:

**(c.1)** $\bar{y}_{p_1}(k)=p(k)h_1+C_3h_2(k)$, where $p(k)=C_1+(k-1)C_2/j$, and

$$\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0 & 1\\ j & 1 & -j\\ j^2 & 2j & (-j)^2\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}C_1\\ C_2\\ C_3\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}0\\ 0\\ 1\end{bmatrix}\implies\begin{bmatrix}C_1\\ C_2\\ C_3\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}1/4\\ -j/2\\ -1/4\end{bmatrix}$$

Then $\bar{y}_{p_1}(k)=1/4(j)^{k-1}-1/2(k-1)(j)^{k-1}-1/4(-j)^{k-1}$ and so

$$y_{p_1}(k)=\bar{y}_{p_1}(k+1)=1/4(j)^k-1/2k(j)^k-1/4(-j)^k,\quad k\geq 0$$

**(c.2)** $\bar{y}_{p_2}(k)=D_1h_1+q(k)h_2(k)$, where $q(k)=D_2+(k-1)D_3/(-j)$, and

$$\begin{bmatrix}1 & 1 & 0\\ j & -j & 1\\ j^2 & (-j)^2 & -2j\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}D_1\\ D_2\\ D_3\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}0\\ 0\\ 1\end{bmatrix}\implies\begin{bmatrix}D_1\\ D_2\\ D_3\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}-1/4\\ 1/4\\ j/2\end{bmatrix}$$

Then $\bar{y}_{p_2}(k)=-1/4(j)^{k-1}+1/4(-j)^{k-1}-1/2(k-1)(-j)^{k-1}$ and so

$$y_{p_2}(k)=\bar{y}_{p_2}(k+1)=-1/4(j)^k+1/4(-j)^k-1/2k(-j)^k,\quad k\geq 0$$

Now, since $y_p=(1/2j)y_{p_1}-(1/2j)y_{p_2}$, we have

$$y_p(k)=(1/2j)[1/4(j)^k-1/2k(j)^k-1/4(-j)^k]-(1/2j)[-1/4(j)^k+1/4(-j)^k-1/2k(-j)^k]$$

which can (optionally) be simplified to $y_p(k)=(1/2)\sin(k\pi/2)-(k/2)\sin(k\pi/2)$. Finally, to contemplate the zero root of the characteristic equation and the initial condition $y(0)=1$, we have:

$$y(k)=2\delta(k)+(1/2)\sin[(k-1)\pi/2]-[(k-1)/2]\sin[(k-1)\pi/2],\quad k\geq 0$$

or

$$y(k)=2\delta(k)+(k/2)\cos(k\pi/2)-\cos(k\pi/2),\quad k\geq 0$$

# 6 Conclusions

We showed in this paper a technique for computing the convolution of exponential signals, in analog and discrete time context, that avoids the resolution of integrals and summations. The method is essentially algebraic and requires the resolution of Vandermonde systems, which is a well-known and extensively discussed problem in literature (see e.g. [10, 11] and references therein). While the question of computing convolution of exponentials have been discussed previously in literature ([6, 7]), the proposed approach is apparently different from the previous ones, and additionally is quite simple and suitable to be implemented computationally. Finally, we use the proposed approach to solve a $n$ order differential/difference equation with constant coefficients.

# References


[1] G. Grubb. *Distributions and Operators*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics (Book 252). Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, NY, 2009.

[2] Wikipedia: The Free Encyclopedia. Wikimedia Foundation, Inc. 7 June 2016. Web. 21 June, 2016. Available at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Convolution.

[3] F. Mota. *Signals, Systems and Transforms*. Lecture Notes, 2015.

[4] J. D'Azzo and C. Houpis. *Linear Control System Analysis and Design*. Second Edition. MacGraw-Hill Kogakusha, Ltd., 1981.

[5] Scilab Enterprises. Scilab: Free and Open Source Software for Numerical Computation. Orsay, France, 2012. Available at http://www.scilab.org.

[6] M. Akkouchi. *On The Convolution of Exponential Distributions*. Journal of The Chungcheong Mathematical Society, Vol. 21, No. 4, December 2008.

[7] N.-Y. Ma, F. Liu. *A Novel Analytical Scheme to Compute the n-Fold Convolution of Exponential-Sum Distribution Functions*. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 158 (2004) 225–235.

[8] K. L. Chung. *Elementary Probability Theory with Stochastic Processes*. Third Edition. Springer-Verlag NY Inc., 1979.

[9] Wikipedia: The Free Encyclopedia. Wikimedia Foundation, Inc. 4 June 2016. Web. 21 June, 2016. Available at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Convolution_power.

[10] G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan. *Matrix Computations*. Second Edition. The Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, 1989.

[11] S.-H. Hou and W.-K. Pang. *Inversion of Confluent Vandermonde Matrices*. Computers and Mathematics with Applications 43 (2002) 1539-1547.